#### ILLINOIS COLLEGE OF EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS The Ginny Kennedy Palys Annual Symposium – Thursday, May 2, 2024

### ABSTRACT RULES AND SUBMISSION FORM Deadline: <u>Tuesday, January 30, 2024</u>

- Only electronic submissions will be accepted. This form is available for download on the ICEP website at <u>www.icep.org</u>. All abstracts should be sent to Alexa Franks at alexaf@icep.org. This form may be duplicated for additional submissions. All submissions must be typed.
- The deadline for submission of abstracts is <u>Tuesday, January 30, 2024</u> at 4:30 pm Central Time. This deadline will be strictly enforced.
- Please attach a blinded copy of the research abstract for judging purposes.

## • Research Abstract guidelines are:

- 400-word count limit
- Maximum of 1 table <u>or</u> figure
- Structure headings include Background, Objective, Design/Methods, Results, Conclusion and Impact. See Attached Sample Layout.

# Helpful Writing Tips:

- Your Objective statement should naturally lead to your Conclusion
- Your Methods should explicitly define the type of study (e.g., retrospective vs prospective; chart review; randomized control; survey), enrollment period (e.g., 1/1/16 – 12/31/16), and inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Your Methods should explicitly define your analytic strategies (e.g., descriptive, Fisher exact, multiple linear regression)
- There is no need to mention IRB oversight (this is understood)
- Your Results should explicitly disclose your N (# of subjects)
- There is no need to mention limitations
- Use a leading zero for all decimal figures (e.g., 0.3)
- Include a space between a value and its units (e.g., 120 mmHg rather than 120mmHg)
- Do not mention your institution or hospital anywhere in the abstract
- Case reports are not eligible for submission, only meta-analysis and systematic reviews

## Research Abstract Scoring guidelines are:

 An 18-point scoring system has been developed by the Research Committee. Understanding the criteria below will help optimize your Abstract submission.

#### **Objective total = 2 points**

0=No stated hypothesis or objective 1=Stated hypothesis or objective difficult to test 2=Clearly stated and testable hypothesis or objective

## Design/Methods total = 2 points

0=Chosen design not stated or chosen design did not test the stated hypothesis 1=Chosen design is reasonable for study objective but not the best 2=Chosen design is ideal for study question

#### Outcomes total = 2 points

0=Chosen outcomes are not stated or inappropriate for study objective 1=Chosen outcomes are reasonable for study objective, but not the best 2=Chosen outcomes are ideal for study objective

### Bias total = 2 points

0=Multiple bias, such as wrong or inadequate population studied 1=Adequate population sampling but bias in assessment, measurements, and/or comparison 2=Adequate sampling and no obvious bias

#### **Results total = 3 points**

0=Critical data to interpretation of study absent 1=Study size stated 2=Study size acceptable but statistics, if applicable, not adequate 3=Both study size and statistics adequate

#### Conclusion total = 3 points

0=Conclusion not supported at all by data 1=Conclusion minimally supported by data 2=Conclusion somewhat supported by data 3=Conclusion fully supported by data

#### Impact total = 2 points

0=Study will not change EM practice or make no meaningful contribution to current fund of knowledge 1=Study will possibly change EM practice or modestly contribute to current fund of knowledge 2=Study will definitely change EM practice or significantly change current fund of knowledge

#### Quality of Writing and tables/figures total = 2 points

0=Poor 1=Generally good 2=Exceptional

#### Maximum total score = 18